COURSE SYLLABUS

1. Data about the program

1.1 Higher education institution	Babeş-Bolyai University
1.2 Faculty	Faculty of Letters
1.3 Doctoral school	Hungarian Studies
1.4 Field of study	Philology
1.5 Study cycle	Doctorate
1.6 Study program / Qualification	Doctoral training / PhD in Philology

2. Course data

2.1 Name of discip	line	LSD 1104	LSD 1104 Ethics and methods of research and methodology of				
		elaboratio	elaboration of scientific papers				
2.2 Teacher respon	2.2 Teacher responsible for lectures Prof. univ. dr. abil. Benő Attila						
			Prof. univ. dr. abil. Benő Attila				
2.3 Teacher responsible for seminars							
2.4 Year of study	1	2.5 Semester	2	2.6. Type of	Oral	2.7 Course framework	DS
				evaluation	exam		

3. Estimated total time of teaching activities (hours per semester)

of Louinated total time of teaching a	C 01 1 101	es (mouns per semiester	<u>/</u>		
3.1 Hours per week		Out of which: 3.2	2	3.3 Seminars /	1
		Lectures		Laboratory classes	
3.4 Total hours in the curriculum	42	Out of which: 3.5	28	3.6 Seminars /	14
		Lectures		Laboratory classes	
Allocation of study time:					
Study supported by textbooks, other course materials, recommended bibliography and personal					40
student notes					
Additional learning activities in the library, on specialized online platforms and in the field					60
Preparation of seminars / laboratory classes, topics, papers, portfolios and essays					30
Tutoring					8
Examinations					2
Other activities: -					

3.7 Individual study (total hours)	140
3.8 Total hours per semester	250
3.9 Number of credits	10

4. Preconditions (where applicable)

4.1 Curriculum	•	equipping the classroom with a video projector
4.2 Competences	•	MA level knowledge of linguistics and literature

5. Conditions (where applicable)

5.1 Conducting lectures	
5.2 Conducting seminars /	
laboratory classes	

6. Specific competences acquired

Professional competences	Acquisition of academic-scientific writing skills in Hungarian language, literature and culture
Transversal competences	CT1 Use of language components, in full accordance with professional ethics

7. Course objectives (based on the acquired competencies grid)

7.1 The general objective of	The course offers elements of the history of science and the landmarks of	
the course	scientific documentation and writing.	
	Development of the analysis capacity and of the critical thinking of the	
	doctoral students.	
7.2 Specific objectives	The course proposes to go through the essence of scientific thinking, the	
	criteria of science: critical and methodical thinking, to lead doctoral students	
	to acquire the ability to recognize the specifics of scientific papers of various	
	types, to document, to collect data and to present views and arguments.	

8. Content

8.1 Lectures	Teaching methods	Comments
1. Introduction. Cognitive aspects of the cognition	Presentation,	
process: preconceptions, stereotypes	discussion.	
2. Interpretation of the researched phenomena.	Problematization	
2. Interpretation of the researched phenomena.		
	Reflection	
3. The system of concepts. Knowledge, memory,	Explication	
associativity.	Disscussion	
4. Generalization and over-generalization in thinking	Explication	
	Problematization	
5. The metaphorical character of human thinking	Problematization	
	Reflection	
6. The process of scientific research and temporal	Presentation	
aspect	Explication	
	Problematization	
7. Research methods in social sciences	Problematization	
	Reflection	

8. Research methods in natural sciences	Presentation
	Explication
	Problematization
9. Differences between research of social phenomena	Explication
and natural phenomena.	Disscussion
10. Statistical data processing	Presentation
	Explication
	Problematization
11. Characteristics of scientific publications	Problematization
	Reflection
12. The language of scientific studies	Presentation
	Explication
	Problematization
13. Professional ethics. Quoting. Originality.	Presentation
14. Synthesis	Explication
	Discussions

Bibliography:

BOGÁTHY, Z., SULEA, C. (2004) Manual de tehnici şi abilități academice, Editura Universității de Vest. FORGÁCS BÁLINT 2015. A kognitív tudomány metaforái és az agy. Magyar Pszichológiai Szemle, 70. 2/6. 395–404.

FRIESEN, NORM 2010. Mind and Machine: Ethical and Epistemological Implications for Research". AI & Society: Knowledge, Culture and Communication 25 (1): 83–92.

GLATZ FERENC red.: A magyar nyelv az informatika korában. Budapest, 1999, MTA

HUSSERL, EDMUND (1998): Az európai tudományok válsága. Atlantisz.

KUHN, THOMAS S. (1970): The Structure of Scientific Revolution. Second Edition. Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago.

LAKI JÁNOS (2006). A tudomány természete. Thomas Kuhn és a tudományfilozófia történeti fordulata. Gondalat Kiadó, Budapest.

PETŐFI S. JÁNOS (2004): A szöveg mint komplex jel. Bevezetés a szemiotikai-textológiai szövegszemléletbe. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest.

PLÉH CSABA – GUROVA LILIA 2013. Existing and would-be accounts of the history of cognitive science: An introduction. In: Pléh Csaba – Gurova Lilia – Ropolyi László. New Perspectives on the History of Cognitive Science. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest. 1–34

POPPER, KARL. R. (1997): Logik der Forschung, 1934/ A tudományos kutatás logikája. Európa Könyvkiadó, Budapest.

SIMONYI KÁROLY (1978): A fizika kultúrtörténete. VEKERDI LÁSZLÓ (1994): Tudás és tudomány. Typotex.

TOLCSVAI NAGY GÁBOR 2013. Bevezetés a kognitív nyelvészetbe. Osiris, Budapest

8.2 Seminars / laboratory classes	Teaching methods	Comments
1. Introduction. Course topics and bibliography.	Presentation	
Requirements and evaluation.	Discussions	
Scheduling the meeting of the seminar presentations.		
2. The limits of human knowledge	Presentation ideas	
	Discussions	
	Debate	

3. Cognitive dissonance	Group discussions Debates
1. Intermedation and understanding in Dildhaula	Case analysis Presentation ideas
4. Interpretation and understanding in Dilthey's	
theory	Discussions
	Debate
5. Relativity in the theory of knowledge? (A. Sokkal	Group discussions
- J. Bricmost)	Debates
,	Case analysis
6. The myth of intelligence	Presentation ideas
,	Discussions
	Debate
7. Mimicry in the development of human thinking	Group discussions
	Debates
	Case analysis
8-13. Individual presentation of research projects.	Presenting individual
Debates, suggestions.	research plan
	Discussions
	Debate
14. Conclusions. Synthesis	Discussions
Case studies prepared with the doctoral students,	Presentation,
based on their individual doctoral research topics	discussion, exercises
Dikli a graphy.	

Bibliography:

DONALD, MERLIN (1991): Origins of the Modern Mind. Three Stages in the Evolution of Culture and Cognition. Harvard University Press, 1991.

GOULD, STEPHEN JAY (1981): The Mismeasure of Man. New York: Norton & Company.

HARARI, YUVAL NOAH (2017): Sapiens. Az emberiség rövid története. Animus, Budapest.

KLEIN, GEORG (2001): Vak akarat és önző DNS. Magvető, Budapest.

MICLEA, MIRCEA 1994. Psihologia cognitivă. Editura Gloria, Cluj Napoca.

MILLER, GEORGE A. 2003. The cognitive revolution: a historical perspective. TRENDS in Cognitive Sciences 7/3: 141–144.

SAGAN, CARL (2001): Broca's Brain: Reflections on the Romance of Science/ Broca agya. Akkord Kiadó, Budapest SOKAL, ALAND – BRICMONT, JEAN (2000): Impostures intellectulles/ Intellektuális imposztorok. Posztmodern értelmiségiek visszaélése a tudománnyal. Typotex Kiadó.

SZABÓ KATALIN (2001): Kommunikáció felsőfokon. Kossuth Kiadó, Budapest.

SZAMARASZ VERA ZOÉ 2006. Az idő téri metaforái: a metaforák szerepe a feldolgozásban.

Világosság 8-9-10: 99-109.

9. Aligning the contents of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community representatives, professional associations and standard employers operating in the program field

The content of the discipline is similar to other introductory disciplines of this kind.

10. Examination

Activity type	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Evaluation methods	10.3 Weight in
			the final grade
10.4 Lectures	Assessment of knowledge	Written exam	
	Assessment of knowledge	Ongoing tests	
10.5 Seminars / laboratory	Activity during seminars	Discussions, answers to	
classes		questions	

Assessment of knowledge	Written exam			
10.6 Minimum performance standard				
Participation in seminars: - minimum 80%				

Date of issue

Signature of the teacher responsible for lectures

Signature of the teacher responsible for seminars

19.09.2021.

Date of approval by the doctoral school council

Signature of the doctoral school director

regge vilesos

23.09.2021.